Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/520,791	DOMLING ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	1654
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. DiCeglie, Jr.</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 August 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103		
Claims discussed: 12 and 14-31		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writton record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654		
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representation	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. DiCeglie, Jr., was contacted on 7/23/09 with a proposed examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. The proposed amendment was to the claim 23 to recite the limitation "W is a cyclo dextrin comprising polyethyleneglycol" for the variable 'W'. Applicants declined to accept the amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. DiCeglie, Jr. was again contacted on 8/14/09 to inquire whether applicants are amenable to the proposed amendments as Mr. DiCeglie, Jr. had informed the office that he would try to talk to applicants.